

Simple, but not Simplistic

Challenges for qualitative sustainability assessments

| Questions | major improvement | | | major deterioration | |
|--|-------------------|----|---|---------------------|---|
| | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Consumption of fossil energy | X | | | | |
| Quantity of pollutants in aqueous effluents | | X | | | |
| Use of water in regions with high water stress | | X | | | |

At the early stage of projects, process industry organisations, such as Solvay and Tata Steel, have found value in using *simple* qualitative screening tools to identify sustainability implications of their development options.

The qualitative approach, defined for the *STYLE scenario**, addresses the need to bring sustainability into early stage process development, when there is more “design freedom” and the opportunity to have a more significant impact on the final process. These tools can also stimulate project members to think about sustainability in ways they may not have considered before (e.g. *Instead of selling this product, can we lease it to customers? Can we avoid manufacturing routes which produce intermediates that are classified as harmful to human health?*).

Issues

- It can be difficult to balance keeping the tool ‘simple’, whilst still providing sufficient coverage of the three pillars of sustainability.
- Questionnaires tend to be specific to a sector or align with a company’s own priorities, so are harder to transfer to different process sectors.
- Answering specific sustainability questions can be harder than listing materials used in a product – ie energy/mass balances can be

straight-forward data collection exercises, whereas answering a qualitative question often requires a degree of subjectivity and thus prior knowledge of the subject. It is also not straightforward to write a question that everyone in a project team will interpret in the same way.

Recommendations

- A general cross-sector tool could be developed, but sustainability experts should set up the tool for different organisations (either internal or sector-specific setup).
- Documentation should explain the concepts and methodologies used in the tools, to help non-experts understand why some questions are important, leading to better-considered design choices. Training of up to half a day is a reasonable prerequisite for using such tools.
- Questions should be specific and use clearly-defined concepts, focusing on technological aspects rather than sustainability terminology, e.g. *“Will this reduce emissions of organic material to water?”*, rather than asking about *“impact on freshwater eutrophication potential”*

For more information see www.spire2030.eu/style

***STYLE Scenario:** A project team is evaluating options for a resource or energy improvement for their process or product and they need a pragmatic tool to check the broader sustainability implications of each technological solution



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and Innovation programme under grant agreement No 636771



SUSTAINABILITY TOOLKIT FOR EASY LIFE-CYCLE EVALUATION

STYLE

